

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMUSE ONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1) 50
Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450
www.nspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/749,428	12/28/2000	Isao Yagasaki	826.1657	5687
21171 7	7590 10/02/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			SHERKAT,	AREZOO
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2131	

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/749,428	YAGASAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Arezoo Sherkat	2131	

	Arezoo Sherkat	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	*	·	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f).	ailing date of the final reject THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a b	rief, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
<ul> <li>(a)          ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see w);	NOTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 5 and 17-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	it does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	_	
13.  Other:			
·		CHRISTOPHEI PRIMARY EXA	REVAK MINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments to claims 5 and 17-20, including but not limited to "respectively provided by different business organizations" will raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search.